

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,049		10/02/2001 -	Chih-Ming Chen	300.1033US	8670
23280	7590	04/08/2003			
	-	IDSON & KAPPE	EXAMINER		
485 SEVEN NEW YOR		NUE, 14TH FLOOR 0018		OH, SIMON J	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615	
				DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055	09/970,049	CHEN, CHIH-MING					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Simon J. Oh	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutant of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONIL, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed							
,	)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>							
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction</li><li>Application Papers</li></ul>	on and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminer						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ne Evaminer					
<del></del>	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Ap	oplication No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internati</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action f</li> </ul>	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	•						
_ a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign langu	uage provisional application has be	een received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO S)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	0-948) 5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Assargren *et al*. (WIPO Publication No. 98/00351)

Assargren *et al.* disclose blister packs that may be used to two drugs, such as a proton pump inhibitor and at least one antibiotic. Omeprazole is listed as an example of a proton pump inhibitor (See Abstract; and Page 8, Lines 16-23). Various antibiotics are listed, including bismuth subsalicylate and colloidal bismuth subcitrate. Combinations of the listed antibiotics may also be used (See Page 8, Line 25 to Page 9, Line 11).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eek (WIPO Publication No. WO 88/02342) in view of Depui *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,365,184 B1)

Art Unit: 1615

The Eek document discloses drug packaging consisting of blister pack cards that may be assembled to form a combination pack of dosage forms, such as tablets (See Abstract; Page 1, Lines 5-12; and Figures). The scope of the disclosed invention encompasses dosage units of different drugs or different amounts of drugs within a single blister pack (See Page 5, Lines 8-14). Digital notation may be printed on the pack for the benefit of the patient. Alternatively, other notation may be printed, such as the time of day or the day of the week for the dose to be taken (See Page 7, Lines 7-11). Methods of treating disease using a combination blister pack are also disclosed (See Page 5, Lines 1-6).

The Eek document does not explicitly disclose the use of the disclosed pack with a combination of a proton pump inhibitor and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

The Depui *et al.* patent teaches a drug combination comprising a proton pump inhibitor and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (See Abstract). Omeprazole and diclofenac are given as suitable drugs for use in this combination (See Column 6, first structure; Column 8, Lines 9-13; and Example 6). The use of these drugs in separate dosage forms in a combination therapy in the prior art is acknowledged in the disclosure (See Column 2, Lines 32-40).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instantly claimed invention was made to combine the disclosures of Eek and Depui *et al*. into the objects of the instantly claimed invention. It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill would be motivated to combine the disclosures of Eek and Depui *et al*. in order to create a packaging system that will increase the likelihood of patient compliance. As stated in Depui *et al*., the motivation to create the disclosed combination dosage form originates from a desire to give a patient a composition that is convenient to take, leading to greater compliance. It is the position

Art Unit: 1615

of the examiner that similarly, a combination dosage regimen given in one package will also lead to greater patient compliance. As the disclosed invention of Eek is not limited to any particular types of drugs to be packaged, one of ordinary skill can expect to create a drug pack comprising dosages of omeprazole and diclofenac in accordance with a combination dosage regimen with a reasonable expectation of success. Claim limitations in Claims 1-3 and 19 drawn to the types of dosage forms to be packaged therein essentially amount, in the view of the examiner, to a recitation of the future intended use of the claimed invention. Such a recitation must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Claim 10, drawn to a packaging system containing dosages for human patients, also contain a recitation of future intended use of the instantly claimed invention. Claims 16, 17, and 20, drawn to limitations of the amount of doses defined in a number of days' supplies, are not found to be patentable in view of the prior art, as Eek teaches how the disclosed packaging system can be designed to carry more than one day's worth of doses for a combination treatment (See Page 7, Lines 7-11). The examiner considers it to be easily within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to design a packaging system in accordance to what is disclosed by the prior art to contain doses lasting anywhere up to 28 days, or between 7 to 14 days, as claimed in the instant application. Similarly, it is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would find Claim 18, which provides for at least one dose of a third drug, obvious in view of the prior art, as different drugs may be provided in the packaging system of Eek within a single blister pack,

Art Unit: 1615

presumably before it is assembled in combination with another blister pack containing a third

drug. Thus, the instantly claimed invention is prima facie obvious.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (703) 305-3265. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular

communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Simon J. Oh Examiner

Art Unit 1615

sjo April 1, 2003

> THURMAN K. PAGE SUPPENISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600